Pupil premium strategy statement : The Cherwell School

1. Summary information							
School	The Cherwell School						
Academic Year	17/18	Total PP budget	£220600	Date of most recent PP Review	Sept17		
Total number of pupils	1864	Number of pupils eligible for PP	236	Date for next internal review of this strategy	Jan 18		

We believe that ensuring that disadvantaged students secure strong outcomes in their learning gives them the best chance to overcome disadvantage, to thrive, to be happy and to be successful. Currently, there is much that we are proud of in the performance of disadvantaged students, in core subjects of English and Maths, but also more widely across the curriculum. Data from 2017 public exams reveals that that disadvantaged students here make as more than half a grade more progress than all students nationally. This represents exceptional performance and progress, which is particularly prominent in the core areas of English and Maths. In English, the progress of disadvantaged is much higher (1.14) than all students nationally, and is close to the progress measure for all students in the school (1.24). This level of success in a demanding essay-based subject reflects success in developing literacy skills over students' time at Cherwell.

We were also very pleased to note that HPA PP students achieved a progress score of .88, almost an entire grade higher than average for all students. Gaps have therefore narrowed considerably at GCSE when compared with previous years' data. We are delighted with this performance, but also recognise that there is much to be done in ensuring that this high level of performance is replicated across all subjects. In particular, we are conscious that progress was less strong for pupils in direct receipt of Free School Meals during Year 11 itself, and that this group therefore remains a significant priority. Alongside this is a continued commitment to improving attainment and progress at KS3, for all students, but particularly for those PP students whose performance we are determined to improve.

2. Cu	rrent attainment					
		Pupils eligible for PP (your school)	Pupils not eligible for PP (national average)			
Progress 8 score average 0.55 Data not yet availab						
Attainment 8 score average 47.84 Data not yet available						
3. Ba	rriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP)					
In-sch	ool barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor literac	cy skills)				
Α.	A. Literacy skills entering Y7 are lower for some students eligible for PP than for others which prevents them from making good progress in Key Stage 3 and beyond.					
B.	High attaining PP students in key Stage 3 perform less well on average than High Attaining non PP students giving them a less secure base from which to be					

	successful at Key Stage 4.						
C.	Too many PP students in Key Stage 3 are not reaching security in their grasp of key fundamentals of curriculum content. This means that they do not have a solid base of understanding from which to build, and may be affecting their attitude and engagement with the school.						
D.	Some PP students at Key Stage 4 respond well to focussed attention they receive through English and subjects.	maths interventions but are less successful in some other					
Extern	al barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance rate	es)					
E.	Attendance rates for PP students are below the minimum expectation and lower than non PP students.						
4. De	sired outcomes (desired outcomes and how they will be measured)	Success criteria					
A.	No PP student in Y7 or Y8 will have a reading age below their chronological age.	Students eligible for PP with reading ages below their chronological age as shown by LUCID testing in September will have reached their chronological reading age when re-tested in July.					
B.	Improved rates of progress across KS3 and attainment for high attaining pupils eligible for PP.	PR3 and PR5 reporting will show that average performance for High Attaining students in KS3 will be similar to that of non-PP students.					
C.	PP students in KS3 will gain a consistently secure knowledge and skills-base through KS3 which prepares them more effectively to begin KS4.	Current security gaps in attainment at KS3 will be narrowed significantly at PR3 and PR5 from average 25% to 15% or less					
D.	PP students achieve highly at GCSE across all subjects.	Student performance in non-core subjects at GCSE will reflect the high levels of attainment and progress achieved in English and maths					
E.		Attendance rates will reach or exceed the target of 95% for all students					
	Improved attendance rates for PP students.						

5. Planned expenditure

Academic year

2017/2018

The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the Pupil Premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies.

i. Excellent Teaching

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
No PP student in Y7 or Y8 will have a reading age below their chronological age.	Continued reading interventions for those PP students whose reading age is lower than their chronological age.	Read Write Inc Fresh Start is a convincing age-appropriate package and we have seen evidence of its success at other schools. It addresses key issues of decoding and will raise reading ages.	Careful monitoring using RWI assessments. Line manager meetings English assessments	MHs	Regularly as per RWI programme. June with re-testing of reading ages for all participants.
Improved rates of progress across KS3 and attainment for high attaining pupils eligible for PP.	Continued implementation of the Currriculum, Assessment and Teaching Policy and High Attainers policy. Enhanced emphasis on tracking and monitoring of performance of HPA PP students.	Our core approaches are addressing the main issues with these students, and are making a positive and sustained difference for the majority. Our data monitoring systems can now be refined through improved approaches to assessment within subjects, and we would benefit from being able to give closer attention to the performance of key individuals within the HPA PP group.	Work with TB / TH / VK to ensure that data is effective monitored. Include a focus on HPA PP in regular work sampling.	TB / TH	January 2017 PR point. July 2017 PR point.

udgeted cost	Total bu		approach. See CAT policy for further details.	ii. Targeted supp
idgeted cost	Total bu		• •	
			• •	
TB /	As above.	As above.	Continued emphasis on PP performance at all levels. As above – continual refinement of core approaches and day-to-day classroom experience is our main	PP students achieve highly across all subjects
TB S	Support and Challenge meetings with FLs to focus on this area. FL meeting and training time dedicated to ensuring that the policy is implemented effectively. Use of targeted weekly work sampling to assess progress. Lesson Observation to include focus on quality of PP student work.	We believe that the quality of our core approaches make the most difference to the performance of PP students, and therefore continued investment in this area is the most effective approach we can take.	Continued implementation of the Currriculum, Assessment and Teaching Policy. This involves enhancing the richness and effectiveness of our curriculum content, assessment practice, and classroom delivery. Of particular help to PP students is the emphasis on knowledge and academic language specified by the policy. INSET time dedicated to this.	PP students in KS3 will gain a consistently secure knowledge and skills-base through KS3 which prepares them more effectively to begin KS4.

Improved attendance rates for PP students.	Inclusion and attendance officer will work with Deputy Year leaders to work with identified students and their families. Incentives, rewards and sanctions will be used as strategies.	Students who miss school do not do as well as those who attend every day. We have found that it is our disadvantaged students who tend to take odd days off for minor ailments or fatigue	Regular analysis of attendance for individuals. Team work with Deputy Year Leaders and Inclusion and Attendance officer to do home visits where necessary.	BT / PDs	Termly at each PR point
iii. Excellent Stud	ant Evnaviance		Total b	udgeted cost	£81,750

III. Excellent Student Experience

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?		
PP students will have everything they need to learn eg equipment and HW support	Form tutors check that students have everything they need and provide equipment if not. Year Teams and Faculty Leaders analyse PR data carefully and target students who are in need of	Students who have all the equipment can get on with their work more quickly and calmly. The fewer distractions means that more focus can be given to the task at hand.	As well as our whole school policy of form tutors checking and providing equipment every morning, a targeted approach will be adopted whereby PP students who are regularly without equipment will be supported by Learning mentors with their organisation skills	ВТ	Termly analysis of C3 data.		
PP students will be willing and able to take part in enrichment activities including trips and extra-curricular activities	Staff apply for funding to ensure that Scholars don't miss out on trips – the wording on letters make it clear to parents and carers about student entitlement	Students from households with little surplus income often miss out on the elements of education. Students who take part in the broader aspects of school life have a stronger sense of community and belonging. This is then transferred into the classroom. The more experiences that young people have the more able they are to express themselves and think deeply.	An audit of extra curricular activities and member ship as well as monitoring of trips to make sure that PP students take part. Reminders to club and trip leaders to approach PP students and encourage/invite. Phone calls home by LMs to reassure about payment.	ВТ	June 2018 audit point including next steps.		
	Total budgeted cost						

Previous Academic Year		2016/2017		
i. Quality of tea	ching for all			
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
No PP student in Y7 or Y8 will have a reading age below their chronological age.	We appointed two HLTAs and trained them to teach Read Write Inc. Targeted students received an hour of additional reading every day.	In Year 7, 5 of the 40 PP students were assessed as having significantly delayed reading ages and in Year 8, 5 of 38 students. This outcome is not yet achieved, but we have made significant progress towards it in terms of our staffing, staff training and resourcing.	Some time was spent investigating phonics approaches and their usefulness which delayed the roll out of a significant programme. We are confident that we have the right approach and will continue with this programme	£43,500
Improved rates of progress across KS3 and attainment for high attaining pupils eligible for PP.	Introduction of High Attainers policy which specifies required approaches to teaching PP High Attaining students.	Overall, we are meeting the criteria for this target. In Year 7, the performance of PP HPA students is matching that of non-PP peers, Between January and July it improved considerably, and the small existing gap was closed. In Year 8, a larger gap remains, although this is affected by outliers. With outliers (for whom particular circumstances apply) removed, the gap has narrowed slightly between January and July. In Year 9, a small gap remains between the performance of PP and non-PP HPA students.	We will continue to work on the core elements of our teaching for higher attaining PP and non-PP students, by providing an enriched curriculum and classroom experience. We have not yet successfully implemented the data tracking elements of the HA policy, and this is a priority for this year. This will take the form of an individualised PLC approach for underachieving PP students.	£43,000

PP students in KS3	Approaches to and	Year 7	We are pleased to see this data suggesting that PP	£32,440
will gain a	content of curriculum	Levels of security for PP students in EBacc	attainment is improving and gaps narrowing. However, we	
consistently secure	and assessment at	subjects rose from 37.1% in January to 44% in	would also show a degree of caution in terms of the validity	
knowledge and	KS3 refined	July, with the gap narrowing from 27% to 20%.	of data in these early years of implementing a new	
skills-base through	throughout the year.	Year 8	assessment system – a time when we would expect some	
KS3 which prepares	KS3 leaders group	Levels of security for PP students in EBacc	natural turbulence and volatility in the data as new	
them more	meeting regularly to	subjects rose from 37.5% in January to 51% in	assessment approaches and assessment content become	
effectively to begin	discuss and share	July, with the gap narrowing from 35 to 21%	embedded.	
KS4.	ideas about how to	Year 9		
	improve practice in	Levels of security for PP students in EBacc	We will continue with this approach, but want to refine it by	
	this area.	subjects rose from 45% in January to 51% in July,	introducing a PLC-style personalised tracking approach for	
	Formation and	with the gap narrowing from 31% to 18%	individual vulnerable students who are at risk of	
	implementation of a		underachievement.	
	new Curriculum,			
	Assessment,			
	Teaching Policy.			

	1	T		
£20,000	Overall, we feel that our approaches are being successful	Excellent levels of achievement and progress in	Intervention classes	PP students achieve
	here and making a positive difference to the achievement of	evidence for PP students in summer exams,	throughout KS4 – on	highly across all
	PP students. We are aware of particular areas in which	across the large majority of subjects. Overall P8	timetable and in	subjects
	performance is less strong, and are taking action via	score of 0.55.	Period 6 (after school	
	implementation of policy and refined approaches to address		sessions).	
	this.		Consistent focus and	
	Our focus now is on the most disadvantaged students in		use of staff training	
	receipt of FSM, as well as individuals who are members of		and INSET time to	
	multiple vulnerable categories, such as PP, SENDK, LPA,		promote excellent	
	White British students. We will put more emphasis in our		teaching for	
	analysis on these individuals, using 4Matrix to track their		disadvantaged /	
	progress more minutely.		vulnerable students,	
			eg through refined	
			use of assessment,	
			enhanced modelling,	
			clarity of explanation.	
			port	ii. Targeted sup
Cost	Lessons learned	Estimated impact: Did you meet the	Chosen action /	Desired
	(and whether you will continue with this approach)	success criteria? Include impact on pupils	approach	outcome
		not eligible for PP, if appropriate.		
	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	success criteria? Include impact on pupils	Chosen action / approach	

Improved attendance rates for PP students.	Focussed work of Learning Mentors and Inclusion and Attendance Officer targeting students who have odd days off – liaising daily with parents and carers, offering rewards and incentives.	Attendance for the whole school was 95% last year and for PP students was 93%. Whilst there is still a gap there is an improvement from last year's figure of 92%	We will continue with this approach and also build in mentoring to develop resilience. There are still too many students who do not seem able to last the whole week. We are putting in place a rewards system to address this issue and learning Mentors and Inclusion and Attendance Officer will monitor closely	£82,000
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost

PP students will have everything they need to learn eg equipment HW support,	Form tutors and subject teachers make sure that Scholars have the	Staff are very good at making the first move to make sure that Scholars do not miss any opportunities for enrichment and have all the equipment they need.	This approach is very successful and the Scholar programme has opened lines of communication around asking for support.	£18,280
educational trips and support with revision	necessary equipment and provide it if not. C3 data for lack of HW is carefully monitored and students are targeted for support if they are unable to complete their HW independently. Staff know how to request funding for trips and letters home include advice for parents and carers of scholars	HW club is very well attended at both key stages and students report that they find it helpful C3 data for HW is remains too high but this is in line with whole school data. Our new policy on homework is designed to address this and ensure that homework is achievable, appropriately challenging and regularly completed by all.	Letters need to have consistent 'form of words' so that school policy is understood.	